Law (Education) (1929) Department.

Ms SERIES.

G.O. No. 2145

DATED 18-10-1929

---

**[Despatch Abstract.]**

Legislative Council Question - Elementary Schools in the South Kanara District recommended by the District Educational Officer for closure on account of the policy of concentration - Notes - Recorded.

Starred Question.

Mr. K.R. Karant.

The Law (Education) Department.

*146. Will the hon. the Minister for Education and Local Self-Governm be pleased to state:.

(a) the names with the descript of elementary schools to which the D trict Educational Officer, South Kan has communicated since 1-1-1929 tha they will not be recommended for Tea Grants for the current year in pursu of the so called "Concentration Sche

(b) when the said schools were started; what is their present stren what are the classes taught in each and how long they were getting teaching grant

(c) what the Board schools are regard to which he has made similar recommendations;

(d) what other grants (buildi furniture etc.) were till now paid respect of the schools mentioned in

scheme including the above schools for the stoppage of the grants before the District Educational Council concerned and whether they have approved of the same ;

(h) if not, why he informs the schools that they are not required and should be closed; and

(i) ~~whether~~ what steps do the Government propose to ~~stop the activities of the District Educational Officer, South~~ take regarding the matter

[illegible]

Education Department.

L.C. Question.

~~*146~~ Q. 526
26.9.29

Mr. K.R. Karant.

Question

*146. Will the Hon'ble the Minister for Education and Local Self-Govt: be pleased to state:--

(a) the names with the description of elementary schools to which the District Educational Officer, South Kanara, has communicated since 1-1-29 that they will not be recommended for teaching grants for the current in pursuance of the so called "Concentration Scheme

(b) when the said schools were started; what is their present strength, what are the classes taught in each and how long they were getting teach-

Answer.

(a) (1) Giliyar Sri Shambhavi Vidyalaya Aided elementary school, Udipi Taluk, managed by K. Sesha Karanth;

(2) Periadka St. Joachim's Adiavida elementary school, Kadaba, which is Roman Catholic church school.

(b) Giliyar Sri Shambhavi Vidya laya:— The D.E.C. recognised the school on 31-10-24. The school contains standards 1 to 4, taught in three batches, with a total strength of 74 pupils. For the last five years, the school was receiving teaching grants.

Periadka St. Joachim's School— ~~The D.E.C.~~ the Dt. Edl. Council recognised on 29-11-26. ~~The~~ the school contains 1 to 4 with a strength of 39 pupils ~~The~~ the school was receiving teaching grants ~~received~~ for the last three years.

(c) what the Board schools are in regard to which he has made similar recommendations;

(c) (1) Weavers' Board Higher Elementary School, Nileshwar; (2) Perol Board Elementary school; (3) Pudukai Board Elementary school and (4) Nileshwar girls' elementary school. All these schools are under the Taluk Board, Kasaragode.

(d) what other grants (building, furniture etc.) were till now paid in respect of the schools mentioned in (a) and (b) supra;

(e) whether the said schools are to be closed and, if so, what is to become of the teachers;

(e) This is for the authorities concerned to decide.

(f) whether the Dist. Edl. Council is the final authority in the matter of deciding on the disposal of teaching grants to the said schools;

(f) Yes, subject to the provisions of section 42 (3) of the Madras Elementary Education Act of 1920.

(g) whether the Dist. Edl. ~~Council~~ Officer, South Kanara, has placed any such scheme including the above schools for the stoppage of the grants before the District Educational Council concerned and whether they have approved of the same;

(g) The District Educational Council has not yet taken any action on the District Educational Officer's reports.

(h) if not why he informs the schools that they are not required and should be closed; and

(h) The District Educational Officer made the recommendations after actually visitng the schools and satisfying himself that they were not needed.

(i) what steps do the Government propose to take regarding the matter?

(i) The question of amalgamation of schools is being investigated by the Director of Public Instruction and pending a final decision the District Educational Officer has been instructed not to take any further action in respect of the two schools.

Law Dept. III-8—2,000—24-11-26.

for the meeting of the Legislative Council to be held on the 6th August 1929.

312

SUBMITTED that two copies of this Question may be forwarded to the Department.

2u

No information is available here.

use (f) The Dt. Edl. Council is the authority which should sanction teaching to elementary schools under private agement, but the Council should also ider the recommendations of the inspecting ers

The D.E.O. may be requested to furnish the information asked for in uestion

U.O.Reply No. 1397/B7/58 8-8-58

following information is supplied:-

(a) (i) Giliyar Sri Shambavi Vidyalaya Aided entary school, Udipi Taluk managed by K.Shesha ien;

ii) Periadka St.Joachim's Adi-Dravida entary school, Kadaba, which is a Roman Catho- church school.

of 39 pupils. Teaching grants received for the last 3 years.

(c) /[illegible]./(1) Weavers' Board Higher Elementary school; (2) Perol Board Elementary school; (3) Pudukai Board Elementary school and (4) Mileshwar girls' elementary school. All these schools are under the Taluk Board. Kasaragode.

(d) Nil

(e) This is for the authorities concerned to decide.

(f) Yes, subject to the provisions of section 42(3) of the Madras Elementary Education Act of 1920.

(g) The D.E.C. has not yet taken any action on the D.E.O's reports.

(h) The D.E.O. made the recommendations after actually visiting the schools and satisfying himself that they were not needed.

(i) The question of amalgamation of schools is being investigated by the D.P.I. and pending a final decision the D.E.O. has been instructed not to take any further action in respect of the two schools.

I may say for the information of the Hon. the Minister that I find that the method adopted by some D.E.Os for the concentration of schools is far too crude; a similar case to the Giliyar school has occurred in Rajahmundry. Recognised schools in receipt of teaching grants for years past cannot be expected to submit to the proposal that they should close their doors forthwith. Any acceptable scheme of consolidation needs more delicate handling than has been adopted by the officer who was at the time D.E.O. of South Kanara. I shall be discussing the matter further in the light of the experience being gained by the Deputy Director of Elementary Education and meanwhile I propose

I propose to instruct the D.E.Os to make no proposals for merging aided schools and local body schools without first consulting the aided school management and endeavouring to overcome its opposition to the proposal and that where aided school management expresses its unwillingness to amalgamate the proposal will exclude the amalgamation of the aided school. In regard to the South Kanara in particular G.O.Mis.104, Education Department, dated 15th January 1929 will be borne in mind.

Edn Dept.

A draft ~~order~~ answer is submitted for approval.

13/8

5 Jun 141

524 Q.—Mr. K. R. KARANT: Will the hon. the Minister for Educat and Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) the names with the description of elementary schools to which District Educational Officer, South Kanara, has communicated since January 1929 that they will not be recommended for teaching grants the current year in pursuance of the so-called 'Concentration Scheme';

(*b*) when the said schools were started, what is their present streng what are the classes taught in each and how long they were getting teachi grants;

(*c*) what the Board schools are in regard to which he has made simi recommendations;

(*d*) what other grants (building, furniture, etc.), were till now paid respect of the schools mentioned in (*a*) and (*b*) supra;

(*e*) whether the said schools are to be closed and if so, what is become of the teachers;

(*f*) whether the District Educational Council is the final authority the matter of deciding on the disposal of teaching grants to the said school

(*g*) whether the District Educational Officer, South Kanara, has plac any such scheme including the above schools for the stoppage of the grar before the District Educational Council concerned and whether they ha approved of the same;

(*h*) if not, why he informs the schools that they are not required ar should be closed; and

(*i*) what steps the Government propose to take regarding the matter?

A.—(*a*) (1) Giliyar Sri Shambhavi Vidyalaya Aided Elementary schoc Udipi taluk, managed by K. Shesha Karanth;

(2) Periadka St. Joachim's Adi-Dravida Elementary schoc Kadaba, which is a Roman Catholic Church school.

(*b*) Giliyar Sri Shambhavi Vidyalaya—The District Education Council recognized the school on 31st October 1924. Th school contains standards 1 to 4, taught in three batches, wit a total strength of 74 pupils. For the last five years, th school was receiving teaching grants.

Periadka St. Joachim's school—The District Educational Counc recognized it on 29th November 1926. The school contair classes 1 to 4 with a strength of 39 pupils. The school wa receiving teaching grants for the last three years.

(*c*) (1) Weavers' Board Higher Elementary school, Nileshwar (2) Perol Board Elementary school; (3) Pudukai Boar Elementary school and (4) Nileshwar Girls' Elementar school. All these schools are under the Taluk Board, Kasara god.

(*d*) Nil.

(*e*) This is for the authorities concerned to decide.

(*f*) Yes, subject to the provisions of section 42 (3) of the Madra Elementary Education Act of 1920.

(*g*) The District Educational Council has not yet taken any actior on the District Educational Officer's reports.

---

(*h*) The District Educational Officer made the recommendations afte actually visiting the schools and satisfying himself that the were not needed.

(*i*) The question of amalgamation of schools is being investigated by the Director of Public Instruction and pending a final decisior the District Educational Officer has been instructed not tc take any further action in respect of the two schools.

Mr. J. A. SALDANHA:—"With reference to answer to clause (*a*), may know on what grounds the District Educational Officer refused to commend these institutions for teaching grants?"

The hon. Dr. P. SUBBARAYAN:—"On the ground of the Concentration Scheme."

Mr. J. A. SALDANHA:—"May I know whether the hon. Minister realizes that these schools are serving a very useful purpose as they are imparting education to a large number of children?"

The hon. Dr. P. SUBBARAYAN:—"I am fully aware of that fact, and at the same time I have to say that under the policy of concentration several schools will have to suffer."

---

Dpd. by Van Tutt
Dpr's. initials
Date
Question
Council

No action is called for. The notes may be recorded

Go. 2145

elementary schools in the South Kanara Dt. recommended closure by the District Officer closure on account of the policy of concentration - notes recorded.

C.F. 304 —2,750 Bks.—10-9-28.

OFFICE OF THE_____

Dated_____192

_____Hours_____Minutes.

# TELEPHONIC MESSAGE

From the Senior Supdt. D.P.I's Office

To the Supdt. Law Exam

Ref your T.m d 10.8.29. re L.C.A No 146. and the Directors U.O reply. No 1397 B/29. d 8.8.29.

The School refd. to in the T.m quoted above is located at Nileshwar

Sm
12/8/29